

2022 IRP: Portfolio Scorecard Results

AES Indiana's IRP team considered six different generation portfolio strategies in a current trends scenario for this side by side analysis of retirement and replacement options.

	Affordability	Environmental Sustainability					Reliability, Stability & Resiliency	Risk & Opportunity							Economic Impact		
	20-yr PVRR	CO2 Emissions	SO2 Emissions	NOX Emissions	Water Use	Coal Combustion Products (CCP)	Clean Energy Progress	Reliability Score	Environmental Policy Opportunity	Environmental Policy Risk	General Cost: Opportunity **Stochastic Analysis**	General Cost: Risk **Stochastic Analysis**	Market Exposure	Renewable Capital Cost Opportunity (Low Cost)	Renewable Capital Cost Risk (High Cost)	Employees (+/-)	Property Taxes
	Present Value of Revenue Requirements (\$000,000)	Total portfolio CO2 Emissions (mmtons)	Total portfolio SO2 Emissions (tons)	Total portfolio NOx Emissions (tons)	Water Use (mmgal)	CCP (tons)	% Renewable Energy in 2032	Composite score from Reliability Analysis	Lowest PVRR across policy scenarios (\$000,000)	Highest PVRR across policy scenarios (\$000,000)	P5 [Mean - P5]	P95 [P95 - Mean]	20-year avg sales + purchases (GWh)	Portfolio PVRR w/ low renewable cost (\$000,000)	Portfolio PVRR w/ high renewable cost (\$000,000)	Total FTEs associated with generation	Total amount of property tax paid from AES IN assets (\$000,000)
1	\$9,572	101.9	64,991	45,605	36.7	6,611	45%	7.95	\$8,860	\$11,259	\$9,271 [-\$264]	\$9,840 [\$305]	5,291	\$9,080	\$10,157	222	\$154
2	\$9,330	72.5	13,513	22,146	7.9	1,417	55%	7.95	\$8,564	\$11,329	\$9,030 [-\$334]	\$9,746 [\$382]	5,222	\$8,763	\$9,999	99	\$193
3	\$9,773	88.1	45,544	42,042	26.7	4,813	52%	7.86	\$9,288	\$11,462	\$9,608 [-\$294]	\$10,237 [\$336]	5,737	\$9,244	\$10,406	195	\$204
4	\$9,618	79.5	25,649	24,932	15.0	2,700	48%	7.90	\$9,135	\$11,392	\$9,295 [-\$287]	\$9,903 [\$321]	5,512	\$9,104	\$10,249	74	\$242
5	\$9,711	69.8	25,383	24,881	14.8	2,676	64%	7.57	\$9,590	\$11,275	\$9,447 [-\$280]	\$10,039 [\$312]	6,088	\$9,017	\$10,442	55	\$256
6	\$9,262	76.1	18,622	25,645	10.9	1,970	54%	7.95	\$8,517	\$11,226	\$8,952 [-\$280]	\$9,629 [\$352]	5,136	\$8,730	\$9,909	88	\$185



2022 IRP: Portfolio Scorecard Results

	Portfolio Strategy	Details						
1	No Early Retirement	 » Status quo » Units remain in service through useful life of 2042 						
2	Pete Refuel to 100% Gas (est. 2025)	 » Petersburg Units 3 and 4 refueled to natural gas in 2025 » Strategy serves as possible bridge to 100% renewable portfolio » Coal-free portfolio starting in 2025 						
3	One Pete Unit Retires (2026)	 One unit retired early in 2026 One unit remains in service through useful life of 2042 Replacement capacity starting in 2026 						
4	Both Pete Units Retire (2026 & 2028)	 One unit retired early in 2026 One unit retired early in 2028 Coal-free portfolio starting in 2028 						
5	Clean Energy Strategy	 » Both Petersburg Units retire and replaced with wind, solar and storage in 2026 and 2028 » Coal-free portfolio starting in 2028 						
6	Encompass Optimization	 » Optimized for results by scenario without predefined strategy » In No Environmental Action, refuels Petersburg Units 3 and 4 in 2025 » In Current Trends and Decarbonized Economy, refuels Petersburg Unit 3 in 2025 and Unit 4 in 2027 » In Aggressive Environtmental, refuels Petersburg Unit 4 in 2027 						